

Assessing Uncertainty in Regional Climate Experiments

Stephan R. Sain Geophysical Statistics Project Institute for Mathematics Applied to Geosciences National Center for Atmospheric Research Boulder, CO

Cari Kaufman, Doug Nychka, Linda Mearns (NCAR); Reinhard Furrer (CSM).



Supported by NSF ATM/DMS.

Goals

- Describe the distribution of model output.
- Understanding sources of variation.
 - GCM, RCM, GCM×RCM, Time Slice, etc.
- Combining model output moving towards a scheme for weighting models.
- Recognizing that the climate model output represents spatial and/or spatial-temporal fields, we are developing methodology for a type of *functional ANOVA*.
 - Gaussian process ANOVA (Kaufman and Sain, 2007).





 $Z_{ijt}(s)$ Output of RCM i, GCM j, at time t = "Climate" and location s

$$= \mu_{ijt}(s)$$

Expected/

response

 $\epsilon_{ijt}(s)$

+

Spatially correlated + residual/"internal model variability"

$$\mu_{ijt}(s) = \mu(s) + i\alpha(s) + j\beta(s) + ij(\alpha\beta)(s) + \gamma t,$$

= Common + RCM + GCM + Interaction + Time

- i, j = -1, 1 (contrast coding)
- Hierarchical model with Gaussian process priors used for each effect.
- MCMC used to estimate parameters, posterior inference, etc.



• Estimates of spatial effects.



• Posterior mean of variance components.



• Ratios of variances.

A Work in Progress

- 4 regional models.
- Total JJA precipitation, 1996-2000.



Model Output



9

A Functional ANOVA Model

• A single-factor ANOVA model:

$$Z_{it}(s) = \mu(s) + h_i(s) + \epsilon_{it}(s)$$

= Common + RCM + Error

- Hierarchical model with Gaussian prior (with spatial covariance) on $h_i(s)$.
- $\mu(s) = x(s)'\beta$ (based on NCEP).
- Errors $\epsilon_{it}(s)$ are also spatially correlated Gaussian.
- MCMC to estimate parameters, posterior inference, etc.

Results ($h_i(s)$, **Posterior Means)**



11

Results (A Posterior Draw)



Results (A Posterior Draw)



• Compare s_h^2 to s^2 ; highlight where $s_h^2 > s^2$.

Results ($P[s_h^2 > s^2]$)



- Assumption of model output representing iid random draws may not be reasonable.
- A modified model:

$$Z_{it}(s) = \mu(s) + h_i(s) + \epsilon_{it}(s)$$

= Common + RCM + Error

The key difference is the prior on h₁(s), h₂(s), h₃(s) is Gaussian with mean 0 and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\begin{array}{c}h_1(s)\\h_2(s)\\h_3(s)\end{array}\right) = \Sigma_h$$



- The model-to-model covariance, Σ_h , can be used to create a linear combination of the $h_i(s)$.
- Assuming $E[h_i(s)] = \mu(s)$, it is easy to show that the w that minimizes the variance of $\sum_i w_i h_i(s)$ is the solution to

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma_h & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{w} \\ \lambda \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}\right).$$

• Other ideas: maximize variance (principal components), etc.



Extremes



 Spatial scaling of return levels.

longitude

Comparing spatial distributions of extremes.

Questions?



ssain@ucar.edu